## Muon sites in Ce(Ru, Rh)2Al10 investigated using Density Functional Theory from the perspective of electronic potential<sup>†</sup>

N. Adam, <sup>\*1,\*2</sup> E. Suprayoga, <sup>\*1,\*3</sup> B. Adiperdana, <sup>\*4</sup> H. Guo, <sup>\*5</sup> H. Tanida, <sup>\*6</sup> S.S Mohd-Tajudin, <sup>\*2</sup> R. Kobayashi, <sup>\*7,\*8</sup> M.Sera, <sup>\*6</sup> T. Nishioka, <sup>\*9</sup> M. Matsumura, <sup>\*9</sup> S. Sulaiman, <sup>\*2</sup> M.I Mohamed-Ibrahim, <sup>\*2</sup> and I. Watanabe<sup>\*1,\*2,\*3,\*4,\*5</sup>

CeRu<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>10</sub> has the orthorhombic YbFe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>10</sub>-type structure with space group Cmcm.<sup>1)</sup> Ce atoms control the magnetism of this system and are surrounded by Al atoms forming a cage-like structure which is suggested to form a twodimensional plane structure stacking along the b-axis.<sup>2,3)</sup> having the nearest neighbour Despite distance, approximately 5.2Å between Ce ions, CeRu<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>10</sub> exhibits an antiferromagnetic transition at  $T_0 = 27.3$  K which cannot be explained by taking only RKKY interaction into account.<sup>4)</sup> The magnetic susceptibility is largely anisotropic whereas the direction of magnetic moment is along the *c*-axis and is expected to flip to the *a*-axis when a small concentration of Ru atoms are substituted by Rh atoms.<sup>5)</sup> In addition, from the  $\mu$ SR results of a Rh-doped system, Guo et al. reported drastic changes in internal fields at muon sites which were related to the spin-flop caused by doping of 3% Rh atoms in CeRu<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>10.60</sub>

A number of investigations of muon sites in Ce-based Kondo semiconductors,  $CeRu_2Al_{10}$  and  $Ce(Ru, Rh)_2Al_{10}$ were carried out by using Density Functional Theory (DFT) to understand the magnetic properties. From the calculation of electrostatic potential, we found all muon sites previously suggested by Kambe et al.<sup>7)</sup> and Khalyavin et al.<sup>8)</sup> as shown in Figure 1. Because they were not crystallographically identical, muon sites in CeRu<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>10</sub> are still undefined and the hyperfine interactions cannot be discussed in detail.



Fig. 1. Muon sites in  $CeRu_2Al_{10}$  suggested by Kambe et al.<sup>7)</sup> and Khalyavin et al.<sup>8)</sup>

- <sup>†</sup> Condensed from the article in J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **551** 012053 (2014)
- \*1 RIKEN Nishina Center
- \*2 Computational Chemistry and Physics Laboratory, Universiti Sains Malaysia
- \*<sup>3</sup> Physics Department, Institut Teknologi Bandung
- <sup>\*4</sup> Physics Department, Universitas Padjajaran
- \*5 Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Silicon Material, Zhejiang University
- \*6 Department of Quantum Matter, Hiroshima University
- \*7 Quantum Condensed Matter Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- \*8 Neutron Science Laboratory, University of Tokyo
  \*9 Graduate School of Integrated Arts and Science Koc
- \*9 Graduate School of Integrated Arts and Science, Kochi University



Fig. 2. Three planes that differentiate  $CeRu_2Al_{10}$  (a) and  $Ce(Ru, Rh)_2Al_{10}$  (b). Planes (1) and (3) contain the muon sites suggested by Kambe et al., whereas the plane (2) contains the muon sites suggested by Khalyavin et al. In plane (3), one Ru atom is substituted by one Rh atom.



Fig. 3. Potential differences between non-doped and doped systems in planes (1), (2) and (3). The purple and yellow points on the planes indicate the positions of suggested muon sites.

The potential energies calculated for the plane in the nondoped system were subtracted from those of corresponding plane in the doped system to investigate the potential differences. Large differences are seen in plane (3) where a Ru atom was substituted by a Rh atom. Because of this change at the Rh atom in plane (3), the potential energy in plane (2) is also slightly deformed around the position underneath the Rh atom. The large potential difference at the substituted Rh atom in plane (3) may have been because of the difference in valence configuration from that of Ru atom.

However, the potential energy at the suggested muon sites did not exhibit much difference even though the Rh doping concentration in the  $CeRu_2Al_{10}$  was increased to 12.5%.

## References

- 1) V. M. Thiede et al.: J. Mater. Chem. 8, 125 (1998).
- 2) H. Tanida et al.: Phys. Rev. B 84, 115128 (2011).
- 3) M. Sera et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 024603 (2013).
- 4) T. Nishioka et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78 123705 (2009).
- 5) A. Kondo et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82** 054709 (2013).
- 6) H. Guo et al.: Phys. Rev. B 88 115206 (2013).
- 7) S. Kambe et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 053708 (2010).
- 8) D. D. Khalyavin et al.: Phys. Rev. B 82 100405 (2010).