## Production cross sections of Mo, Nb and Zr radioisotopes from $\alpha$ -induced reaction on <sup>nat</sup>Zr<sup>†</sup>

T. Murata,<sup>\*1,\*2,\*3</sup> M. Aikawa,<sup>\*3,\*2</sup> M. Saito,<sup>\*3,\*2</sup> N. Ukon,<sup>\*4,\*2</sup> Y. Komori,<sup>\*2</sup> H. Haba,<sup>\*2</sup> and S. Takács<sup>\*5</sup>

The most important medical radioisotopes at present are  ${}^{99m}$ Tc  $(T_{1/2} = 6.0 \text{ h})$  and its generator  ${}^{99}$ Mo  $(T_{1/2} = 66.0 \text{ h})$ . Nuclear reactions to produce  ${}^{99}$ Mo using accelerators are energetically investigated worldwide. One of the charged-particle reactions used to create  ${}^{99}$ Mo is the  ${}^{96}$ Zr $(\alpha, x)^{99}$ Mo reaction. Three experimental data ${}^{1-3}$  were found in a literature survey. The three datasets, however, show quite different shapes from each other. Therefore, we performed two experiments to measure the cross sections of the  ${}^{96}$ Zr $(\alpha, x)^{99}$ Mo reaction. In addition, the cross sections for the production of  ${}^{93m}$ Mo,  ${}^{90g, 92m, 95g, 95m, 96}$ Nb, and  ${}^{88, 89g, 95}$ Zr isotopes were measured.

Two independent irradiations using different targets and  $\alpha$ -beam energies were performed at the RIKEN AVF cyclotron. The stacked-foil technique, activation method, and high-resolution  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometry were used. Two stacked targets consisted of <sup>nat</sup>Zr foils of different thicknesses (6.75 and 13.22  $\text{mg/cm}^2$ ) and <sup>nat</sup>Ti foils  $(2.43 \text{ and } 2.40 \text{ mg/cm}^2)$ . The targets were respectively irradiated for 2 h by  $\alpha$  beams of two different energies, namely 29 and 50 MeV. The incident beam energies were determined by using the time-of-flight method.<sup>4)</sup> The energy degradation in the targets was calculated using the SRIM code.<sup>5)</sup> The average intensity measured by a Faraday cup was about 400 nA in both cases. The  $\gamma$  lines from the decay of the radioisotopes for each irradiated foil were measured using an HPGe detector.

The excitation function of the <sup>nat</sup>Zr( $\alpha$ , x)<sup>99</sup>Mo reaction was derived from measurements of the  $\gamma$  line at 739.500 keV ( $I_{\gamma} = 12.20\%$ ). The parent nuclei of <sup>99</sup>Mo, <sup>99g</sup>Nb ( $T_{1/2} = 15.0$  s), and <sup>99m</sup>Nb ( $T_{1/2} = 2.5$  min), decayed during cooling times longer than 47 h. The cross sections of <sup>96</sup>Zr were deduced by taking into account the isotopic composition of natural zirconium. The results are shown in Fig. 1 and compared with previous experimental data<sup>1-3)</sup> and the TENDL-2017 data.<sup>6)</sup> Our results are in complete agreement with the recent experimental data,<sup>3)</sup> but very different from the others. Based on our measured excitation function, the end of bombardment activity of <sup>99</sup>Mo was deduced with the

- \*1 School of Science, Hokkaido University
- \*<sup>2</sup> RIKEN Nishina Center
- \*<sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Biomedical Science and Engineering, Hokkaido University
- \*4 Advanced Clinical Research Center, Fukushima Medical University
- $^{*5}\,$  Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences (ATOMKI)



Fig. 1. Excitation function of the  ${}^{96}$ Zr( $\alpha, x$ ) ${}^{99}$ Mo reaction.



Fig. 2. End of bombardment activity of  $^{99}\mathrm{Mo}$  for 1 h irradiation.

stopping powers obtained using the SRIM code.<sup>5)</sup> Our result shown in Fig. 2 is almost consistent with the two datasets,<sup>3,7)</sup> but larger than one dataset.<sup>2)</sup>

References

- D. P. Chowdhury *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B **103**, 261 (1995).
- G. Pupillo *et al.*, J. Radional. Nucl. Chem. **302**, 911 (2014).
- M. Hagiwara *et al.*, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. **318**, 569 (2018).
- T. Watanabe *et al.*, Proc. 5th Int. Part. Accel. Conf. (IPAC2014), 3566 (2014).
- 5) SRIM: the Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter, http://www.srim.org/.
- 6) A. J. Koning et al., Nucl. Data Sheets 113, 2841 (2012).
- 7) K. Abe et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 123, 972 (1984).

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  Condensed from the article in Appl. Radiat. Isot. 144, 47 (2019)