⁷Be target production to measure ${}^{7}\text{Be}(d, p)$ reaction for the primordial ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ problem in Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis

A. Inoue,^{*1} A. Tamii,^{*1} S. Cha,^{*2} K. Y. Chae,^{*2} S. Hayakawa,^{*3} N. Kobayashi,^{*1} S. Nakamura,^{*1} T. Shima,^{*1} H. Shimizu,^{*3} H. Yamaguchi,^{*3} L. Yang,^{*3} and Z. Yang^{*1}

The overestimation of primordial ⁷Li abundance in the standard Big-Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) model is one of the known and unresolved problems. A recent theoretical BBN model predicted a primordial ⁷Li abundance that was approximately three times larger than the recent precise observation.¹⁾ Light nuclei were produced up to ⁷Be by nuclear reactions in several hundred seconds following the Big Bang.

⁷Li nuclei were predominantly produced by the electron capture decay of ⁷Be in the standard BBN model. The decay half life of ⁷Be, 53.22 days, is much longer than the timescale of the production of light nuclei after the Big Bang. Thus, one possible scenario to solve the ⁷Li problem is that ⁷Be was destroyed in the timescale of the nuclear reactions. There are several possibilities to destroy ⁷Be, for example, the ⁷Be $(d, p)^8$ Be, ⁷Be (n, α) , or ${}^7\mathrm{Be}(n,p)$ reactions.²⁾ We focus on the ${}^7\mathrm{Be}(d,p){}^8\mathrm{Be}$ reaction because its contribution is suggested to be larger than that of ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n,\alpha){}^{4}\text{He}{}^{3,4)}$ The goal of the experiment is to measure the cross-section of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(d, p){}^{8}\text{Be}$ reaction in the BBN energy region of 100–400 keV. We plan to measure the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(d, p){}^{8}\text{Be}$ reaction with a ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ target because the available data are insufficient for the accuracy or energy range.^{5,6} We are also motivated to measure the reaction in direct kinematics because it implements a good energy resolution. The method allows us to reconstruct the kinematics of the reaction by measuring the outgoing proton without measuring the two alpha particles. We apply the *implantation target method* to produce the ⁷Be target. ⁷Be particles were implanted by irradiating a gold target with a ⁷Be beam.



Fig. 1. Experimental setup at CRIB. The enlarged schematic picture shows the inside of the F2 chamber.

- ^{*1} Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University
- *² Department of Physics, Sungkvunkwan University
- *³ Center for Nuclear Study, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo



Fig. 2. γ -ray energy spectrum of the implanted ⁷Be (red dotted plot) and background spectrum (black dotted plot).

We performed an experiment to produce a ⁷Be implanted target at CRIB, Center for Nuclear Study (CNS) in April, 2018. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1.⁷) The primary beam was ⁷Li²⁺ at 5.6 MeV/nucleon. The secondary beam was produced by the ¹H(⁷Li, ⁷Be) reaction. The secondary beam energy was 4.0 MeV/nucleon. The ⁷Be beam was directed on to a 10 μ m thick gold target as the host material after an energy degrader made of gold with a thickness of 15 μ m and 2 mm ϕ collimator determined the implanted beam position.

We evaluated the amount of implanted ⁷Be by detecting 477 keV γ -rays with a LaBr₃ detector after the implantation. The γ -ray is emitted in the electron capture process of ⁷Be with a branching ratio of 10.5%. We achieved an implantation of 1.9×10^{12} ⁷Be particles as expected after one day of irradiation. Figure 2 shows the measured γ -ray spectrum. We improved the beam optics for the the high intensity ⁷Be beam since 2017, which enabled the production of the ⁷Be target with a high intensity beam.

The ⁷Be target was carried to the Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) to measure the (d, p) reaction in June, 2018. The outgoing protons were successfully measured by three layered silicon detectors with the thickness of 500 μ m each at 2 different angles, 30° and 45°. Currently, analyses are being conducted to obtain the cross-section of the ⁷Be(d, p) reaction.

References

- R. H. Cyburt *et al.*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **11**, 012 (2008).
- 2) M. Barbagallo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 152701 (2016).
- 3) S. Q. Hou et al., Phys. Rev. C 91, 055802 (2015).
- 4) T. Kawabata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 052701 (2017).
- 5) R. W. Kavanagh *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **18**, 493 (1960).
- 6) C. Angulo et al., Astrophys. J. 630, L105 (2005).
- H. Yamaguchi *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 589, 150 (2008).