

$R = 12H_0^2$ and its implications to gravity and cosmology

Y. Akiba *1

In the preceding article,¹⁾ I report a model (QST model) that can yield the formulas of the standard model (SM) parameters. The model implies that the product of the Hubble constant H_0 and the Planck time t_{pl} is $H_0 t_{pl} = 2 \times (6\pi)^{-48} = \epsilon_0$. This relation is derived as follows.

The spacetime metric of the Hubble expansion is

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2).$$

The Ricci scalar curvature R and $\sqrt{-g}$ of this metric are

$$R = 12H_0^2, \\ \sqrt{-g} = e^{3H_0 t}.$$

For $t = t_{pl}$, we have $\sqrt{-g} = e^{3H_0 t} \simeq 1 + 3H_0 t_{pl}$. In the QST model, the correspondence relation is

$$\sqrt{-g} \leftrightarrow 1 + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{g3D} = 1 + 3\epsilon_0.$$

Thus, we have $H_0 t_{pl} = \epsilon_0$. Because $H_0 = \epsilon_0/t_{pl}$ is a constant, R is a constant. The QST model implies that $R = 12H_0^2$ (constant). We discuss the implication of this equation in general relativity and cosmology below.

We can generalize the metric to allow local changes of the scale with a constraint $R = 12H_0^2$:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2u(x,y,z)} dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2).$$

The R of this metric is

$$R = -(2\Delta u + 3(\nabla u)^2)e^{-2H_0 t} + 12H_0^2 e^{-2u} \\ = 12H_0^2.$$

We can show that Coulomb gravity can be derived from this metric. When we take the approximation $H_0 \simeq 0$, we have

$$R = -2\Delta u - 3(\nabla u)^2 = 0.$$

If u is small, we can ignore the $(\nabla u)^2$ term, and we have $\Delta u = 0$. In general relativity, $g_{00} \simeq -1 - 2\phi_G$, where ϕ_G is the gravitational potential. As $e^{2u} = -g_{00}$ and $e^{2u} \simeq 1 + 2u$, $u \simeq \phi_G$. Therefore,

$$\Delta \phi_G \simeq \Delta u = 0.$$

Thus, the relation $R = 0$ implies Coulombic gravitational potential under the weak gravity approximation. If we take into account the fact that $H_0 \neq 0$, the potential equation for gravity becomes non-linear.

Next, we discuss the effect of $R = 12H_0^2$ for the motion of an astronomical object. Consider the following

metric (Sp -metric):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t} \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2r_s}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right).$$

One can show that the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ and the Ricci scalar curvature R of this metric are

$$R_t^t = 3H_0^2, R_r^r = 3H_0^2 - \frac{2r_s}{r^3} e^{-2H_0 t}, \\ R_\theta^\theta = R_\phi^\phi = 3H_0^2 + \frac{r_s}{r^3} e^{-2H_0 t}, \\ R = R_t^t + R_r^r + R_\theta^\theta + R_\phi^\phi = 12H_0^2.$$

This metric describes the spacetime metric outside a very large spherical mass, *e.g.*, a star or a planet. It is a metric with spherical symmetry, like the Schwartzchild metric, but with $R = 12H_0^2$. Note that $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $R = 0$ for the Schwartzchild metric.

One can solve Keplerian motion in this metric. The solution implies that the radius r of a circular orbit of an object around the large mass at the origin increases with time as

$$\dot{r}/r = \sqrt{2}H_0 = 1.0104 \times 10^{-10}/\text{yr}.$$

The factor $\sqrt{2}$ arises from the fact that the Keplerian motion is a two dimensional motion. One can show that the scale expansion rate of two-dimensional radius ρ with $R = 12H_0^2$ is $\sqrt{2}H_0$.

This prediction agrees with the observed rate of expansion of the the Moon's orbit radius r_{Moon} around the Earth²⁾ within the uncertainty of the data.

$$\dot{r}_{\text{Moon}} = 3.82 \pm 0.07 \text{cm/yr},$$

$$\dot{r}_{\text{Moon}}/r_{\text{Moon}} = (0.994 \pm 0.0182) \times 10^{-10}/\text{yr}.$$

This good agreement indicates that the expansion of the lunar-orbit radius is due to Hubble expansion.

We found a few empirical formulas of cosmological parameters that are similar to those of the SM parameters. For CMB temperature, we found

$$T = \frac{1}{(6\pi)^2} \epsilon_0^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{(6\pi)^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

This formula yields $T_{\text{CMB}}^{\text{calc}} = 2.7249 \text{ K}$, which agrees with the observed value $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.7255 \pm 0.0006 \text{ K}$ within the uncertainty of the data. The QST model can explain the empirical formula.

References

- 1) Y. Akiba, in this report.
- 2) B. G. Bills, R. D. Ray, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26**, 3045 (1999).

*1 RIKEN Nishina Center