Mapping of a new deformation region around ⁶²Ti[†]

S. Michimasa,^{*1} M. Kobayashi,^{*1} Y. Kiyokawa,^{*1} S. Ota,^{*1} R. Yokoyama,^{*2} D. Nishimura,^{*3} D. S. Ahn,^{*4} H. Baba,^{*4} G. P. A. Berg,^{*5} M. Dozono,^{*1} N. Fukuda,^{*4} T. Furuno,^{*6} E. Ideguchi,^{*7} N. Inabe,^{*4} T. Kawabata,^{*6} S. Kawase,^{*8} K. Kisamori,^{*1} K. Kobayashi,^{*9} T. Kubo,^{*10,*11} Y. Kubota,^{*4} C. S. Lee,^{*1} M. Matsushita,^{*1}

H. Miya,^{*1} A. Mizukami,^{*12} H. Nagakura,^{*9} H. Oikawa,^{*12} H. Sakai,^{*4} Y. Shimizu,^{*4} A. Stolz,^{*11} H. Suzuki,^{*4} M. Takaki,^{*1} H. Takeda,^{*4} S. Takeuchi,^{*13} H. Tokieda,^{*1} T. Uesaka,^{*6} K. Yako,^{*1} Y. Yamaguchi,^{*1} Y. Yanagisawa,^{*4} K. Yoshida,^{*2} and S. Shimoura^{*1}

The mass of atomic nuclei is a fundamental quantity as it reflects the sum of all interactions within the nucleus, which is a quantum many-body system comprised of two kinds of fermions, protons and neutrons. Changes in the shell structures of nuclei far from stability can be directly probed by mass measurements.

In the neutron-rich Cr and Ti region, the shell evolution around the ls-closed neutron number of 40 has attracted considerable attention in recent years. The onset of island of inversion (IoI), which was discovered around ³²Mg¹⁾ for the first time, was theoretically predicted along the N = 40 isotones.²⁾ The IoI is well characterized by the emergence of the Jahn-Teller (JT) stabilization, $^{3,4)}$ which is promoted by configuration mixing on the Fermi surface. The goal of the present experiment was to confirm the presence or absence of this effect through the first mass measurements of neutronrich Ti isotopes around N = 40.

The experiment was performed at the RI Beam Factory (RIBF) at RIKEN, which is operated by RIKEN Nishina Center and Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo. The masses were measured directly by using the TOF- $B\rho$ technique. Neutron-rich isotopes were produced by fragmentation of a 70 Zn primary beam at 345 MeV/nucleon in a 9 Be target. The fragments were separated by the BigRIPS separator,⁵⁾ and transported in the High-Resolution Beamline to the SHARAQ spectrometer. $^{6)}$

Figure 1 shows the present results of the twoneutron separation energy (S_{2n}) of Sc, Ti, and V isotopes, together with theoretical S_{2n} systematics obtained from the macroscopic-microscopic Weizsäcker-Skyrme-type formula with treatments of two radial basis functions corrections (LZU).⁹⁾ This model largely reproduces the S_{2n} trends including the present results. How-

- Center for Nuclear Study, The University of Tokyo *2
- Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University of Tennessee *3
- Dept. of Physics, Tokyo City University *4
- **RIKEN** Nishina Center
- *5 Dept. of Physics, University of Notre Dame
- *6 Dept. of Physics, Kyoto University *7
- RCNP. Osaka University *8
- Dept. of Advanced Energy Engineering Sciences, Kyushu University *9
- Dept. of Physics, Rikkyo University
- *¹⁰ FRIB, MSU
- *11 NSCL, MSU
- *12 Dept. of Physics, Tokyo University of Science
- *¹³ Dept. of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

14^{||} LZU 12 S_{2n} (MeV) 10 8 6 4 36 38 34 40 32 Neutron Number N

Fig. 1. Two-neutron separation energies (S_{2n}) of Sc, Ti, and V isotopes around N = 40. Closed symbols indicate values determined from the present experimental masses, and open symbols are literature values.^{7,8}). Solid lines connect isotopes, and dashed lines show theoretical predictions by the LZU model.⁹⁾

ever, it is obvious that the model underestimates the S_{2n} values in ^{61,62}Ti isotopes. The results, therefore, confirm that ⁶²Ti becomes very stable.

Since the behavior of the mass surface is similar to that in the N = 20 IoI, it is reasonably demonstrated that the JT stabilization arises in the vicinity of 62 Ti. The theory⁴) suggests that this enhancement of the JT stabilization around ⁶²Ti is caused by configuration mixing among neutron fpgd orbitals enhanced by the degeneracy of the neutron orbitals.

References

- 1) E. K. Warburton, J. A. Becker, B. A. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 41, 1147 (1990).
- 2) B. A. Brown, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 47, 517 (2001).
- 3) H. A. Jahn, E. Teller, Proc. Poy. Soc. A161, 220 (1937).
- 4) P. -G. Reinhard, E. W. Otten, Nucl. Phys. A420, 173 (1984).
- 5) T. Kubo, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 204, 97 (2003).
- 6) T. Uesaka et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012, 03C007 (2012).
- 7) M. Wang et al., Chin. Phys. C 41, 030003 (2017).
- 8) Z. Meisel et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 052801(R) (2020).
- 9) N. -N. Ma et al., Chin. Phys. C 43, 044105 (2019).

t Condensed from the article in Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 122501 (2020)*1