Di-dineutron correlation in ⁸He[†]

Y. Yamaguchi,^{*1} W. Horiuchi,^{*1,*2,*3,*4} T. Ichikawa,^{*5,*6} and N. Itagaki^{*1,*2,*3}

The four-neutron correlation in nuclei has attracted much attention as it describes the extreme state of However, strengthening the neutron-rich systems. four-neutron correlation is not as simple as strengthening the dineutron correlation in nuclear systems because the lowest energy states of four neutrons in a vacuum cannot all occupy the s orbits, and the two neutrons must be in the p orbits due to the Pauli principle. As a result, four neutrons are split into two positive-parity and two negative-parity orbits, resulting in insufficient four-neutron correlations to form a bound state. Is there a situation in which the fourneutron correlation in nuclei is strengthened? Let us consider a four-neutron system moving around a core nucleus (closed shell configuration). In such a case, since the lowest energy state is already occupied by the nucleons in the core nucleus, all the valence four neutrons can occupy the same orbit. The four-neutron correlation is therefore expected to be stronger than that in a vacuum.

In this study, the four-neutron correlation in such a bound nucleus is investigated for a ⁸He nucleus, which exhibits the simplest core+four-neutron (n) structure. The ground-state wave function is described by the microscopic ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n+n+n$ cluster model. The Hamiltonian consists of the kinetic energy and the two-body effective nucleon-nucleon potential terms. The potential includes the central and spin-orbit terms whose parameters are tuned to reproduce important properties of the subsystems: the binding energy of ⁴He and the low-energy phase shifts of the proton+⁴He and ⁴He-⁴He scattering. With this Hamiltonian, the parity and angular momentum projected wave function is obtained by superposing many valence neutron configurations, including explicit dineutron-dineutron correlations. As each nucleon wave function is given by a Gaussian wave packet, the ⁴He and dineutron clusters are represented by spin- and isospin-saturated four nucleons and two neutrons with spin up and down sharing the same Gaussian center, respectively. In addition to randomly generated four-neutron configurations around ⁴He, we explicitly include various ${}^{4}\text{He} + 2n + 2n$ configurations with isosceles triangles, where two sides of the ${}^{4}\text{He-}2n$ distance D are taken from 1 to 6 fm, and their opening angle Θ is taken

from 30° to 150° .

The calculated binding energy for the ground state of ⁸He is -3.14 MeV from the ⁴He + 4n threshold, which agrees with the experimental data of -3.10 MeV.¹) The calculated root-mean-square point proton radius is 1.82 fm and is also in good agreement with the experimental one derived from the charge radius, 1.80(3) fm.²) As a measure of the validity of the neutron density distribution, we evaluate the total reaction cross section on a carbon target using the Glauber model. The calculated cross section is 798 mb at 800 MeV/nucleon, which is in good agreement with the experimental interaction cross section value $817 \pm$ 6 mb at 790 MeV/nucleon.³) These comparisons confirm the validity of our ⁸He wave function.

Figure 1 plots the squared overlap between the ground-state wave functions of ⁸He and the ⁴He + 2n + 2n cluster configurations as a function of Θ . We find that the largest overlap value 0.45 is obtained at D = 3 fm and $\Theta \approx 80^{\circ}$. The ⁸He wave function contains a sizeable two-2n component with a large D value, a component of the correlated dineutron-dineutron pair. Exploring such a di-dineutron correlation in other neutron-rich nuclei would aid the universal understanding of the four-neutron correlation.



Fig. 1. Squared overlap between the ground-state wave function and ${}^{4}\text{He} + 2n + 2n$ configurations.

References

- 1) M. Wanget al., Chin. Phys. C ${\bf 45},\,030003$ (2021).
- I. Angeli and K. Marinova, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 99, 69 (2013).
- 3) I. Tanihata et al., Phys. Lett. B 206, 592 (1988).

[†] Condensed from the article in Phys. Rev. C **108**, L011304 (2023)

 ^{*1} Department of Physics, Osaka Metropolitan University
*2 Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental

Physics (NITEP), Osaka Metropolitan University *³ RIKEN Nishina Center

^{*4} Department of Physics, Hokkaido University

^{*5} SIGMAXYZ Inc.

^{*6} YITP, Kyoto University